

Government of India
Ministry of Urban Development
AMRUT Division

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi


Dated: 24th June, 2016

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of 10th Meeting of Apex Committee under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) regarding.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of 10th meeting of Apex Committee held under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) on 14.06.2016 for information and necessary action.

Encls. As above.


(Shiv Pal Singh)

Director (AMRUT)

Telephone: 011-23063661

To,

1. Secretary (Department of Expenditure).
2. Secretary (Department of Economic Affairs).
3. Principal Advisor (HUD), NITI Ayog
4. Secretary (Drinking Water & Sanitation).
5. Secretary (Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation).
6. Secretary (Environment, Forest & Climate Change).
7. Additional Secretary (UD), MoUD.
8. Additional Secretary (SC), MoUD.
9. Joint Secretary (PF-II), Department of Expenditure.
10. Joint Secretary & FA, MoUD.
11. Joint Secretary (SBM), MoUD.
12. OSD (UT), MoUD.
13. Adviser (CPHEEO).
14. Chief Planner, TCPO, Vikas Bhawan, IP Estate, New Delhi.
15. Director, NIUA, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.
16. Principal Secretary (UD), Urban Development Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.
17. Principal Secretary, Urban Development Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna - 800 001.
18. Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development, Govt of Chhattisgarh.
19. Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.
20. Administrative Secretary, H & UDD, J&K.

21. Secretary, PWD, Goa.

22. Secretary Local Government-cum-Home Secretary, Chandigarh Administration, Union Territory of Chandigarh - 160009

Copy to:

- i. PSO to Secretary (UD)
- ii. PS to JS(UD &A),
- iii. PS to Media Advisor in Office of UDM
- iv. PS to Director (AMRUT, UT-I), PS to DS(NURM, SC-III).
- v. Sr. Technical Director (NIC), MoUD, Nirman Bhawan (with request to upload the minutes on the AMRUT website)

Minutes of the 10th Meeting of Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held on 14.06.2016.

The List of Participants is at Annex- I

At the outset, the National Mission Director welcomed the Chairman and other members of the Apex Committee and the participants from the States to the 10th meeting of the Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT). The Mission Director brought to the notice of the Committee and the participants that the 1st anniversary of the launch of AMRUT is on 25th June 2016. It was advised that the States celebrate this day by organising ceremonies in each Mission city. Foundation stones of the AMRUT projects should be laid on this day. Activities like Bhoomi Poojan, or Ground breaking ceremonies for AMRUT Projects should also be conducted on this day. The States should communicate with the citizens the progress made on the reforms and improvements in delivery of citizen services.

The Mission Director also stated that the Ministry has decided the Mission period allocation for the States. After the submission of the State Annual Action Plan for the year 2016 – 17, the States have been requested prepare and submit the SAAP for the balance period by October 2016. The Secretary (UD) stated that this has been done so that the States have the complete picture of availability of funds to them under the Mission to help them plan accordingly. With this, they will be able to plan and prepare projects and complete all procedures in time. This should help them plan water supply, sewerage and drainage et cetera comprehensively.

The Mission Director emphasised to the States the need to adopt the Model Building Bye Laws that have been communicated by the Ministry. The adoption of Model Building Bye Laws will help the States integrate environmental concerns and will exempt them to approach state level/Government of India level for environmental clearances for projects up to believe that 150,000 m². This will also integrate the ease of doing business, specifically, the online approval of the building plans and single window clearances for them.

The Mission Director also informed the States that the Ministry of Finance has mandated implementation of the Direct Benefit Transfer Scheme for funds under AMRUT. The detailed guidelines in this regard will be issued in due course. An essential feature of the Scheme is that all the government/ semi – government agencies handling AMRUT funds for implementation of the projects will have to be

registered with PFMS of the Government of India and all the payments will be through electronic mode and no cheques will be issued.

The Mission Director invited the officers from the participating States to make their presentation on their SAAPs starting from Uttar Pradesh.

During the proceedings, it was observed, that even after utilising the Mission allocation, the States may not be able to achieve the Mission targets of universal coverage of water supply and sewerage. The Secretary (UD) advised that the state should explore the option of utilising the devolution to the ULBs under the 14th Finance Commission. Further, the States should also look at PPP for bridging the gap of funds. On this, the officers from Himachal Pradesh shared their initiative of involving private investment. It was stated that they have adopted a cluster approach both for the cities as well as for the activities to be taken up. They have prepared two packages of certain number of cities and with several services like water supply, sewerage, solid waste management and parking et cetera. They have taken up this initiative with the help from the DEA. The initiative of the State Government of Himachal Pradesh was appreciated.

The Additional Secretary (SC) stated that under the PPP mode of project implementation, the AMRUT funds can be used towards capital expenditure and the user charges for the services provided should have the 1st charge towards meeting the PPP obligations to the concessionaire towards operating expenses. Such user charges should be escrowed to ensure their availability. In case the user charges are not sufficient for that purpose, State Finance Commission funds may be committed towards the payments.

Agenda Item No.-1

i. SAAP of Uttar Pradesh

Financial Details	
Number of Mission cities: 61	Sharing pattern: 50:50 for cities upto one million population and 1/3:2/3 for other cities.
CA for SAAP 2016-17: Rs. 1638 crore	Central share: Rs.1638 crore
A&OE share: Rs. 43.68 crore	State share: Rs. 2257.18 crore
Total number of projects: 203	SAAP size: Rs.3895.16 crore
	Total number of cities covered: 60
Project details:	

Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	58	31	114	0	0
Amount (Rs.Cr)	2017.42	1795.84	81.90	0.00	0.00

The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.

Comments of NIUA:

The Director NIUA observed that the State Government has proposed 2 persons per ULB under the capacity building programme and stated that it should be on the basis of the size of the ULBs and not equally for each ULB. The State Government assured to appropriately address the observation.

Comments of TCPO:

The Chief Planner, TCPO stated that out of the proposed 11 milestones under reforms to be achieved during the year 2016 – 17, two milestones, viz, operationalisation of financial intermediary and State policy on National Commission for Sustainable Habitat have not been initiated. Energy and water audit has also not been taken up.

Comments of Department of Expenditure:

The Director, Department of Expenditure stated that coverage of water supply is one of the primary objectives under the Mission and that universal metering of water supply should be undertaken by the State Government and user charges recovered accordingly.

Comments of IFD:

The DS(IFD) desired the State to make sure that there is no overlap between projects approved in the last financial year and those included in the current SAAP. The officials of the State Government confirmed that there is no overlap. The DS(IFD) stated that other observations of IFD have already been communicated on file.

Comments of JS (SBM):

The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the SBM in detail. The State Government brought to the notice of the Committee that they have large number of applications for IHHLs for verification and posting on the portal and the State Government is feeling the lack of sufficient manpower for that purpose. The JS(SBM) indicated that the A&OE funds can be used for engaging manpower for the purpose.

Comments of AS (SC):

The AS(SC) desired from the Himachal Pradesh government the document that they have prepared for PPP model on cluster approach. He also advised that consortium approach should be followed for such projects as it involves multiple services. He assured the State Government of Uttar Pradesh the support from the Ministry for preparation of documents for taking up projects under PPP mode for Lucknow, which has been selected as a smart city, and for Varanasi.

Comments of AS (UD):

The AS (UD) desired to know as to when the credit rating will be completed. The State Government indicated that the process of credit rating has been initiated and is likely to be completed within 3 – 4 months.

Comments of National Mission Director:

The State Government was advised to expedite the process of appraisal and approval of the DPRs and tendering and award of contracts so that the projects start off expeditiously and are completed on time. The State Government should also actively organise suitable launching ceremonies in the Mission cities on the anniversary of the Mission falling on 25th of June 2016.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

ii. SAAP of Himachal Pradesh

Financial Details	
Number of Mission cities: 02	Sharing pattern: 90:10 (Centre : State)
	Central Share: Rs.91.2 Cr.
Central Allocation (CA) for SAAP 2016-17: Rs 91.2 Cr	State share: Rs 10.13 Cr
A&OE share: Rs 2.43 Cr	SAAP size: Rs 101.33 Cr
Total number of projects: 27	Total number of cities covered: 02

Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	08	06	03	04	06
Amount (Rs.Cr)	25.27	20.31	2.53	21.8	31.42

The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.

Comments of NIUA:

The Director NIUA desired to know whether the water ATMs proposed by the State Government will be installed through AMRUT funds. The State Government responded that AMRUT funds are being utilised for that purpose. The JS(UD) stressed that the water ATMs should be best installed in PPP mode.

Comments of TCPO:

The Chief Planner, TCPO presented the status of reform implementation mandated for the year. It was noted that the towns that have been included under the Mission in this year, like Kullu, will be required to undertake the reforms mandated for 2015 – 16 as well as 2016 – 17 in the current year itself. The State Government confirmed that.

Comments of Department of Expenditure:

The Director Department of Expenditure offered no comments.

Comments of IFD:

The DS(IFD) stated that the observations of IFD have already been communicated on file.

Comments of JS (SBM):

The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the SBM in detail.

Comments of AS (SC):

No comments were offered.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

iii. SAAP of Bihar

Financial Details					
Number of Mission cities: 27			Sharing pattern: 50:50 for cities upto one million population and 1/3:2/3 for other cities.		
CA for SAAP 2016-17 : Rs. 387.60 crore			Central share: Rs.387.60 crore		
A&OE share: Rs. 10.34 crore			State share: Rs. 387.60 crore		
Total number of projects: 29			SAAP size: Rs.775.20 crore		
			Total number of cities covered: 27		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	7	0	20	02	0
Amount (Rs.Cr)	627.82	00	23.48	123.90	0.00
The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16. In the SAAP the State has proposed projects in water supply, drainage and parks and green spaces. No project has been proposed in the Sewerage sector. The officers of the State Government explained that projects in drainage sector have been taken because the State is prone to flooding. It was also stated that the Sewerage sector is likely to be taken up under Namami Gange. It was also observed that the coverage under Sewerage in the state is only about 5% and is also not likely to be completed within the Mission period, and thus there is not only a need put in AMRUT funds into the sector but also seek funds from outside the Mission. In light of this, the State Government was advised to revamp the SAAP proposed by them and propose investments in sectors in accordance with the Mission priorities. The SAAP will be considered by the Apex Committee again in its next meeting after re-submission by the State government.					

iv. SAAP of Chhattisgarh

Financial Details

Number of Mission cities: 09 CA for SAAP 2016-17: Rs. 336 crore A&OE share: Rs. 8.96 crore Total number of projects: 19	Sharing pattern: 50:50 for cities upto one million population and 1/3:2/3 for other cities. Central Share: Rs.308.58 crore State share: Rs. 431.80 crore SAAP size: Rs.740.38 crore Total number of cities covered: 09
--	--

Project details:

Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	09	01	09	0	0
Amount (Rs.Cr.)	400.53	320.65	19.19	00	00

The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.

Comments of NIUA:

The Director NIUA emphasised the need for preparation of action plan for reduction in NRW.

Comments of TCPO:

The Chief Planner TCPO presented the assessment of status of accomplishment of reforms mandated for the year.

Comments of Department of Expenditure:

The Director Department of expenditure emphasised the need for 100 percent metering for water supply and collection of user charges. He also emphasised the need for capacity upgradation. It was also stated that the Chhattisgarh is in ecologically sensitive area and therefore the SAAP should have synergy with the Climate Change Action Plan of the State.

Comments of IFD:

The DS(IFD) stated that the observations of IFD have already been communicated on file and also that the State should ensure that there is no duplication of projects or parking of funds.

Comments of JS (SBM):

The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the Swachh Bharat Mission. The State Government informed that they are aggressively pursuing the implementation of

the SBM. He informed the Committee that the State Government is providing Rs. 14,000 per individual toilet which probably is the highest in the country.

Comments of AS (SC):

The AS(SC) requested the State Government to leverage the PPP funds for water supply projects to cover the supply gap in the sector.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

v. SAAP of Jammu & Kashmir

Financial Details

Number of Mission cities: 04	Sharing pattern: 90:10 (Centre: State).
CA for SAAP 2016-17: Rs. 177.6 crore	Central Share: Rs.177.60 crore
A&OE share: Rs. 4.74 crore	State Share: Rs. 19.73 crore
Total number of projects: 22	SAAP size: Rs.197.33 crore
	Total number of cities covered:04

Project details:

Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	02	03	04	06	07
Amount (Rs.Cr)	25.60	26.00	4.63	46.60	94.50

The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.

Comments of NIUA:

No comments were offered.

Comments of TCPO:

The Chief Planner TCPO presented the assessment of status of accomplishment of reforms mandated for the year.

Comments of Department of Expenditure:

No comments were offered.

Comments of IFD:

The DS(IFD) stated that the observations of IFD have already been communicated on file and also that the State should ensure that there is no duplication of projects or parking of funds.

Comments of JS (SBM):

The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the SBM in detail.

Comments of AS (UD):

It was noted that the coverage of Sewerage is only 34%. The State Government informed the Committee that there is a separate scheme under JICA for taking up projects in Sewerage sector.

Comments of National Mission Director:

The National Mission Director sought to know whether they have proposed any bus terminal in the Urban mobility sector in the SAAP and stated that the bus terminal project must not be taken up through the Mission funds and these should be taken up under PPP mode. The State Government confirmed that they will utilise the funds earmarked for the bus terminal for creation of parking facilities.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

vi. SAAP of Chandigarh

Financial Details					
Number of Mission cities: 01			Sharing pattern: 100%		
CA for SAAP 2016-17: Rs.18.00 crore			Central share: Rs.18.00 crore		
A&OE share: Rs. 0.48 crore			State share: Rs. NIL		
Total number of projects: 2			SAAP size: Rs.18.00 crore		
			Total number of cities covered:1		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	1	00	01	0	00
Amount (Rs.Cr)	17.55	00	0.45	0.00	00
The UT Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building,					

Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.

Comments of NIUA:

The Director NIUA observed that the recovery of the costs for water supply is 75% whereas the Union Territory government has projected completion of coverage by 2017 – 18. It was emphasised that the UT government should attempt to achieve 100 percent cost recovery by the Mission Period.

Comments of TCPO:

The Chief Planner TCPO presented the assessment of status of accomplishment of reforms mandated for the Year.

Comments of Department of Expenditure:

No comments were offered.

Comments of IFD:

The DS(IFD) stated that the observations of IFD have already been communicated on file and also that the State should ensure that there is no duplication of projects or parking of funds.

Comments of JS (SBM):

The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the SBM in detail.

Comments of National Mission Director:

The UT government requested that they being a single Mission city Union Territory, they do not require financial intermediary and the PDMC as they have the in-house capacity to undertake the relevant tasks. The Mission Director stated that State Government may not employ the PDMC.

Comments of AS (SC):

The AS (SC) observed that the while the coverage is 88%, the lpcd is 245, which is an indicator of wastage of precious resources like water. The Municipal Commissioner stated that due to intermittent supply of water, the losses are likely to be high and the Union Territory government is hoping to achieve 24x7 water supply. At that stage the losses will be reduced.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

vii. SAAP of Goa

Financial Details					
Number of Mission cities: 01			Sharing pattern: 90:10 (Centre : State)		
CA for SAAP 2016-17: Rs.34.8 crore			Central share: Rs.34.80 crore		
A&OE share: Rs. 0.93 crore			State share: Rs. 34.80 crore		
Total number of projects: 11			SAAP size: Rs.69.60 crore		
			Total number of cities covered:1		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	0	02	01	0	08
Amount (Rs.Cr)	0.00	10.50	1.74	0.00	57.36
The State Government presented the details of progress in Capacity Building, Reforms implementation and project implementation against SAAP 2015-16.					
Comments of NIUA:					
No comments were offered.					
Comments of TCPO:					
The Chief Planner, TCPO gave details of the implementation of reforms, as reported.					
Comments of Department of Expenditure:					
No comments were offered.					
Comments of IFD:					
The Dy.Secretary(IFD) stated that the observations of IFD have already been communicated on file and also that the State should ensure that there is no duplication of projects or parking of funds.					
Comments of JS (SBM):					
The JS (SBM) reviewed the progress of the SBM in detail.					
Comments of National Mission Director:					
It was observed that the State Government is likely to achieve 100 percent coverage in water supply by 2016 – 17 and that in Sewerage by 2018 – 19. It was also observed that the water supply is 310 lpcd. The Mission Director stated that					

this is very high and the state should implement 24 x 7 water supply and impose suitable tariffs which will promote economy of use of water.

Comments of AS (SC):

The State Government informed that due to availability of funds beyond the need for universal coverage in water supply and sewerage, the State Government is focusing on heavy pedestrianisation in the city in the urban mobility sector which will also improve its tourism potential by making it tourist friendly.

Chairperson:

The State Annual Action Plan was approved subject to above comments and observations and common conditions mentioned by the National Mission Director and recorded later in the Minutes.

Common Conditions for all SAAPs

- i. The State/UT Govts. shall, in respect of each project, explore the possibility of funding through PPP mode, annuity based funding as well as utilising the funds available to the ULBs through State Finance Commission and 14th Finance Commission. An analysis of such options in respect of each project shall be presented by the Mission Directorate before the SHPSC at the time of seeking approval for projects.

In case the funding in a project through these sources has not been tied up, the SHPSC should satisfy itself about the reasons for the same.
- ii. The State/UT Govts shall ensure that the works are executed through the ULBs. In case the works are to be executed through para-statal agencies, the process and conditions specified in the Mission Guidelines in this regard shall be strictly followed.
- iii. State Govts. need to clearly indicate about the availability of Land and other clearances. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- iv. Re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be given focus while approving DPRs.

- v. The State Govts. should ensure convergence between the AMRUT, Smart Cities, SBM, other related Schemes and Externally aided projects according to Mission Guidelines.
- vi. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on prevailing official schedule of rates and not on market rates.
- vii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.
- viii. The approvals towards Urban Mobility shall not be used for procurement of buses.
- ix. No incomplete JnNURM projects should be taken up in AMRUT and the SLTC will ensure adherence to the Guidelines.
- x. In case, the appraised cost of projects in previous SAAP exceeds the approved SAAP size for the year, the SAAP may be limited to approved SAAP size by removing some projects. Such projects may either be taken up in the next of SAAP or taken up by the State Govt. through convergence with other schemes or borne by the State/UT Government.
- xi. States/UTs must ensure that there is no duplicity of projects/work and projects/item of works already approved under previous SAAP is not form the part of SAAP again.

Agenda Item No.2

Policy decision regarding SAAP Size subsequent to appraisal of DPRs.

The Committee after deliberation decided that in situation where the total appraised /approved/awarded cost of the projects with respect to a particular SAAP goes beyond the approved SAAP size, the States shall drop some projects so as to limit the SAAP size to approved amount. Such dropped projects may be included in the subsequent year's SAAP or be taken up by the State Government through other sources like State funds, convergence from other Schemes, externally aided projects or reform incentive etc.

Agenda Item No. 3

Request for change in the typology of buses sanctioned to 11 cities of KSRTC during transition phase of JnNURM:

Apex committed, after detailed discussion, approved the change of typology of buses sanctioned to 11 cities of KSRTC (Karnataka) as per following details:

(Amt in Rs. Cr.)

Earlier Sanction			Revised Sanction		
Types of buses	No of buses	Estimated cost	Types of buses	No. of buses	Estimated cost
Standard Size Non AC 900 mm floor Height Urban Buses	437	148.58	Standard Size Non AC 900 mm floor Height Urban Buses	291	98.94
Midi Non AC < 900 mm Floor Height Urban Buses	50	12.50	Midi Non AC < 900 mm Floor Height Urban Buses	63	15.75
			Midi Non AC < 900 mm Floor Height Urban Buses with BS-IV	133	33.25
Total	487	161.08		487	147.94

2. However, the change in typology is subject to following conditions:

- 1st installment of ACA already released will be utilized for the new buses only after placing the purchase order and having proper approval of the Ministry;
- KSRTC will submit a city-wise requirement of change in typology of buses through SLNA/State Govt.
- The final cost of buses will be decided after tender and submission of fresh purchase order. Central Share shall be limited to the 60% of the earlier sanctioned project cost of Rs. 161.08 Cr or the new final cost arrived through tender for the new buses, whichever is less.
- Eligibility for 2nd installment of ACA after submission of UC for the 70 % of the funds released by the Govt. of India & State Govt. and proof of delivery of 50% of buses;
- KSRTC, should ensure that the procurement of buses to be completed before 31.03.2017 as the funding is available under AMRUT scheme only up to 31.03.2017;

- All the 133 buses with BS-IV specification have to be in line with UBS-II including following features:
 - Disk brakes in front;
 - Air suspension in both rear and front;
 - Automatic or automated manual transmission; and
 - Maximum noise level of 81 dba to be achieved:
 - Latest emission norms as applicable will be followed.

KSRTC in concurrence with State Government should confirm adherence to the above conditions in writing:

Summary of decisions of the Apex Committee:

A. The Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan (SAAP) of following States:

Amt in Rs. crore

Name of State	Estimated project cost under SAAP for 2016-17	Central Share	A&OE allocation to State for 2016-17	Committee's decision/ observation
Uttar Pradesh	3895.16	1638.00	43.68	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.1638 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 327.60 Crore being 20% of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.43.68 crore.
Himachal Pradesh	101.33	91.20	2.43	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.91.20 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 18.24 Crore being 20% of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.2.43 crore.
Chhattisgarh	740.38	308.58	8.96	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.308.54 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 61.72 Crore being 20% of Central share.

				Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.8.96 crore.
Jammu & Kashmir	197.33	177.60	4.74	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.177.60 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 35.52 Crore being 20% of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.4.74crore.
Chandigarh	18.00	18.00	0.48	Committee approved the SAAP with 100% central share of Rs.18.00 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 3.60 Crore being 20% of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.0.48 crore.
Goa	69.60	34.80	0.93	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.34.80 crore towards project cost and release of 1 st instalment of Rs. 6.96 Crore being 20% of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.0.93 crore.

Bihar

The State Annual Action Plan of Bihar has not been approved and the State Govt. was advised to revamp the SAAP proposed by them and propose investments in sectors in accordance with the Mission priorities. The SAAP will be considered by the Apex Committee again in its next meeting after re-submission by the State government.

B. Policy decision regarding SAAP Size subsequent to appraisal of DPRs.

The Committee approved the proposal as explained at Agenda Item No. 2 above.

C. Request for change in the typology of buses sanctioned to 11 cities of KSRTC during transition phase of JnNURM:

Apex committee approved the change of typology of buses as mentioned at Agenda Item 3 above.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

List of Participants in the meeting of the 10th Apex Committee under AMRUT held on 14.06.2016

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. | Shri Rajiv Gauba, Secretary (UD), MoUD | Chairman |
| 2. | Shri Durga Shankar Mishra, AS (UD), MoUD | |
| 3. | Shri Sameer Sharma, AS(SC), MoUD | |
| 4. | Shri Neeraj Mandloi, Joint Secretary (UD), MoUD | National Mission Director |
| 5. | Shri Praveen Prakash, JS(SBM), MoUD | |
| 6. | Shri Shree Prakash Singh, Secretary (UD) Uttar Pradesh | |
| 7. | Shri K.K. Joddar, Chief Planner, TCPO | |
| 8. | Shri R. K. Singh Director (UT), MoUD. | |
| 9. | Shri Jagan Shah, Director, NIUA | |
| 10. | Shri Shiv Pal Singh, Director, MoUD. | |
| 11. | Shri Chittaranjan Das, Director (PF-II), Department of Expenditure. | |
| 12. | Smt. Yashodhara Vijayan, Deputy Secretary, IFD, MoUD. | |
| 13. | Shri M.S. Sharma, DS(SBM-II), MoUD. | |
| 14. | Shri Thingom lalit kumar Singh, US, MoUD. | |
| 15. | Shri R. K. Mishra, Director Local Bodies. Uttar Pradesh | |
| 16. | Shri Prem K. Assudani, M.D, Jal Nigam, Uttar Pradesh | |
| 17. | Shri B. Purushartha, Commissioner MC, Chandigarh | |
| 18. | Shri J. M. Pathania, Director (UD), Himachal Pradesh. | |
| 19. | Shri Vandana Thakur, Urban Planner , Shimla, Himachal Pradesh | |
| 20. | Shri Pankaj Rai, Commissioner, MC, Shimla , Himachal Pradesh | |
| 21. | Shri Neeraj Saxena, Nodal Officer, Patna, Bihar. | |
| 22. | Shri S. Iyer, Team Leader, SPUR, Bihar | |
| 23. | Shri Satish Chand Agrawal, Dy. Team Leader, SPUR, UD & HD , Bihar | |
| 24. | Shri Hirdesh Kumar Singh, Secretary/ Commissioner, H&UDD, J&K | |
| 25. | Shri S. C. Sharma, Senior Consultant, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation. | |
| 26. | Shri Dinesh Kumar, Consultant, MoUD. | |
| 27. | Shri N.P. Sharma, SE, MC, Chandigarh. | |
| 28. | Shri Harish Saini, Executive Engineer, MC Chandigarh. | |
| 29. | Dr. Alka Singh, Deputy Director, RCUES, Lucknow | |
| 30. | Shri Dipak Dessai, Commissioner, Panji, Goa. | |
| 31. | Shri Swayan Chaudhuri, Executive Director, Goa | |
| 32. | Shri A. K. Gupta, Add. Director, RCUES, Lucknow. | |
| 33. | Shri Saumil Chaubey, Former Additional CEO-SUDA, Chhattisgarh. | |